

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 22-CR-80144-SMITH/MAYNARD(s)(s)(s)

18 U.S.C. § 1349

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)

18 U.S.C. § 1343

18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1)

18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)

18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i)

18 U.S.C. § 1957


18 U.S.C. § 982(a)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

MARIEL TOLLINCHI,
REGINE MARIE RENE,
MAURICE SHAZIER, and
ROBENSON LAUVINCE,

Defendants.



THIRD SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges that:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times material to this Third Superseding Indictment:

The Paycheck Protection Program

I. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security ("CARES") Act was a federal law enacted in or around March 2020 and designed to provide emergency financial assistance to the millions of Americans who were suffering the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. One source of relief provided by the CARES Act was the authorization of forgivable

loans to small businesses for job retention and certain other expenses, through a program referred to as the Paycheck Protection Program (“PPP”).

2. The types of businesses eligible for a loan included sole proprietorships. In order to obtain a PPP loan, a qualifying business (through its authorized representative or the sole proprietor) signed and submitted a PPP loan application (Small Business Administration (“SBA”) Form 2483) online through the lender’s application platform. In order to be eligible to obtain the PPP loan, the PPP loan application required the business (through its authorized representative or the sole proprietor) to acknowledge the program rules and make certain affirmative certifications. The certifications included an attestation that the business was in operation on February 15, 2020, and had employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes, or paid independent contractors, or was a sole proprietorship with no employees. In the PPP loan application, the small business (through its authorized representative or the sole proprietor) was required to provide, among other things, its average monthly payroll expenses and number of employees. In addition, businesses applying for the PPP loan were required to provide documentation confirming their payroll expenses. Typically, businesses supplied documents showing the amount of payroll taxes reported to the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”). The sole proprietorship had to report and document the business’ income and expenses, as typically reported to the IRS on Form 1040, Schedule C. These figures and documentation were used to calculate the amount of money the small business was eligible to receive under the PPP. Sole proprietorships were eligible to receive a maximum PPP loan of up to \$20,833 to cover lost income.

3. A PPP loan application was processed by a participating lender. The SBA delegated authority to third-party lenders to underwrite and approve the loans. If a PPP loan application was approved, the participating lender funded the PPP loan using its own monies, which were 100%

guaranteed by the SBA. Data from the application, including information about the borrower, the total amount of the loan, and the listed number of employees, was transmitted electronically by the lender to the SBA in the course of processing the loan.

4. PPP loan proceeds were required to be used by the business on certain permissible expenses — payroll costs, interest on mortgages, rent, and utilities. The PPP allowed the interest and principal on the PPP loan to be entirely forgiven if the business spent the loan proceeds on these expense items within a designated period of time and used a defined portion of the PPP loan proceeds on payroll expenses.

The Economic Injury Disaster Loan Program

5. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, SBA's Economic Injury Disaster Loan ("EIDL") program provided low-interest financing to small businesses, renters, and homeowners in regions affected by declared disasters.

6. The CARES Act also authorized the SBA to provide EIDL assistance to eligible small businesses experiencing "substantial economic injury" (substantial financial disruptions) due to the COVID-19 pandemic. In order to obtain a COVID-19 EIDL, a qualifying business was required to submit electronically an EIDL application to the SBA and provide information about its operations, such as the number of employees, gross revenues, and the cost of goods sold for the 12-month period preceding January 31, 2020. The applicant was also required to certify under penalty of perjury that all of the information in the EIDL application was true and correct to the best of the applicant's knowledge. Before receiving a loan, a borrower was required to sign a Loan Authorization and Agreement. Pursuant to that agreement, it was a condition of the loan that the borrower would use the loan solely as working capital to alleviate economic injury caused by a disaster occurring in January 2020 and continuing thereafter.

7. EIDL applications were submitted directly to, and processed by, the SBA, with support from a government contractor. EIDL funds were issued directly from the United States Treasury.

8. In addition, the CARES Act authorized the SBA to issue advances of up to \$10,000 to small businesses within three days of applying for an EIDL. The amount of the advance was determined by the number of employees the applicant certified having at the small business. The advances did not have to be repaid.

9. SBA's email and loan application servers were located outside the State of Florida, so wire communications between banks and the SBA and between EIDL applicants located within Florida and the SBA necessarily traveled in interstate commerce.

The Defendants, Businesses, and Lenders

10. Co-conspirator Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint was a resident of Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida.

11. Defendant MARIEL TOLLINCHI was a resident of Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida.

12. Defendant REGINE MARIE RENE was a resident of Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida.

13. Defendant MAURICE SHAZIER was a resident of St. Lucie County, in the Southern District of Florida.

14. Defendant ROBENSON LAUVINCE was a resident of Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida.

15. Co-conspirator Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint was the principal and registered agent of Royal Elite Trans, LLC ("ROYAL ELITE"), Elite International Financial Group, a/k/a Elite

International Venture Capital (“EIVC”), One Solution Group LLC (“ONE SOLUTION”), and Dad Money Investment Group, LLC (“DAD MONEY”), purported businesses located in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida.

16. Defendant MARIEL TOLLINCHI and her family members were the principals of the Cinda Foundation Inc., a/k/a “Cinda Fondation Inc”, (“CINDA”), a purported businesses located in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida. TOLLINCHI was a principal of The Technical Advantage, Inc. (“TECHNICAL ADVANTAGE”), a purported businesses located in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida. TOLLINCHI’s family members were the principals and registered agents of Ferro’s Entertainment and Production LLC (“FERRO’S”) and D.F. d/b/a “Perfect Landscaping” (“PERFECT LANDSCAPING”), purported businesses located in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida. TOLLINCHI was also the principal of Tollinchi Law P.A. (“TOLLINCHI LAW”), a law firm located in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida.

17. Defendant REGINE MARIE RENE was the principal and registered agent of Unfold Perception, LLC (“UNFOLD”), a purported business located in Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida.

18. Defendant MAURICE SHAZIER was the principal and registered agent of Sunrise City Construction Development LLC (“SUNRISE CITY”) and JREAM Vision T.V. LLC (“JREAM”), purported businesses located in St. Lucie County, in the Southern District of Florida.

19. Defendant ROBENSON LAUVINCE was the principal and the registered agent of Clear Shot Entertainment LLC (“CLEAR SHOT”), a purported business located in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida.

20. Lender #1 was headquartered in New Jersey and was an approved SBA lender for PPP loans.

21. Lender #2 was headquartered in Delaware and was an approved SBA lender for PPP loans.

22. Lender #3 was headquartered in Utah and was an approved SBA lender for PPP loans.

COUNT 1
(Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud: 18 U.S.C. § 1349)

Paragraphs 1 through 22 of the General Allegations Section of this Third Superseding Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

From in or around April 2020, continuing through on or about August 7, 2022, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Palm Beach, St. Lucie, Broward, and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendants,

**MARIEL TOLLINCHI,
REGINE MARIE RENE,
MAURICE SHAZIER,
ROBENSON LAUVINCE,**

and Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint did willfully, that is, with the intent to further the object of the conspiracy, and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with each other and with persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to knowingly, and with the intent to defraud, devise, and intend to devise, a scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, did transmit and cause to be transmitted, by means

of wire communications in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY AND THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

It was the object of the conspiracy and of the scheme and artifice for the Defendants and their co-conspirators to unlawfully enrich themselves by, among other things: (1) creating false and fraudulent documents, including payroll records and tax documents that had not been filed with the IRS; (2) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent applications for loans and loan agreements made available through the SBA to provide relief for the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, including EIDL and PPP loans; (3) offering, paying, and receiving kickbacks in return for causing the submission of false and fraudulent loan applications; (4) diverting fraud proceeds for the Defendants' and co-conspirators' personal use and benefit, the use and benefit of others, and to further the conspiracy and the scheme and artifice; and (5) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent applications for forgiveness of the received loans.

MANNER AND MEANS

The manner and means by which the defendants and their co-conspirators sought to accomplish the object of the conspiracy and the scheme and artifice included, among others, the following:

(a) Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint created and caused the creation of false and fraudulent tax documents that had not been filed with the IRS for business entities owned and controlled by himself, MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, for the purpose of applying for PPP loans and EIDLs.

(b) Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint, MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury submitted and caused the submission of false and fraudulent PPP loan and EIDL applications and agreements.

(c) The PPP loan and EIDL applications and agreements submitted, or caused to be submitted, by Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint, MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury included false and fraudulent tax documents that had not been filed with the IRS and made false and fraudulent representations about the borrowing entities' number of employees, amount of monthly payroll, gross income, cost of goods sold, and the purposes for which the loans would be used.

(d) Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint created and caused the creation of false and fraudulent payroll accounts with a payroll processing service for business entities owned and controlled by himself, MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, for the purpose of applying for PPP loans and PPP loan forgiveness.

(e) Having obtained PPP loans for those entities, Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint, MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury caused the electronic wire transfer of a portion of the loan proceeds to a payroll processing service to make it appear that the proceeds were being used for legitimate payroll expenses.

(f) Having obtained PPP loans and EIDLs for their business entities, Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint caused MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE

SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury to pay kickbacks of approximately 10% of the loans' values to Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint via checks and wire transfers that were often disguised to appear to be legitimate business expenses.

(g) Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint, MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury submitted and caused the submission of false and fraudulent applications for PPP loan forgiveness for these business entities.

(h) As a result of the false and fraudulent representations that Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint, MARIEL TOLLINCHI, BRIANNA MONIQUE GAYLE, MAURICE SHAZIER, ROBENSON LAUVINCE, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury made in the PPP loan and EIDL applications submitted as part of this scheme, financial institutions and the SBA disbursed loan proceeds to the Defendants and their co-conspirators in the amount of at least approximately \$8.1 million.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

COUNT 2

(Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h))

From in or around April 2020, continuing through on or about August 7, 2022, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Palm Beach, St. Lucie, Broward, and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendants,

**MARIEL TOLLINCHI,
REGINE MARIE RENE,
MAURICE SHAZIER,
ROBENSON LAUVINCE,**

and Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint did knowingly and voluntarily combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with each other, and with persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to knowingly

conduct, and attempt to conduct, a financial transaction affecting interstate and foreign commerce involving the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, knowing that the property involved in the transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that the transaction was designed, in whole and in part, to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds of said specified unlawful activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

It is further alleged that the specified unlawful activity is wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

COUNT 3
(Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h))

From in or around April 2020, continuing through on or about August 7, 2022, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Palm Beach, St. Lucie, Broward, and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

MARIEL TOLLINCHI,

and Joff Stenn Wroy Philossaint did knowingly and voluntarily combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with each other and with persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to:

(a) knowingly transmit and transfer monetary instruments and funds, from a place inside the United States to and through a place outside the United States, knowing that the monetary instruments and funds involved in such transmission and transfer represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that such transmission and transfer was designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and

control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i);
and

(b) knowingly engage in a monetary transaction by, through, and to a financial institution, affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000 that is derived from specified unlawful activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957.

It is further alleged that the specified unlawful activity is wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

COUNTS 4-25
(Wire Fraud: 18 U.S.C. § 1343)

The General Allegations Section of this Third Superseding Indictment is re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

From in or around April 2020, continuing through on or about August 7, 2022, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Palm Beach, St. Lucie, Broward, and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendants,

**MARIEL TOLLINCHI,
REGINE MARIE RENE,
MAURICE SHAZIER, and
ROBENSON LAUVINCE,**

did knowingly, and with the intent to defraud, devise, and intend to devise, a scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, did transmit and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communications in interstate

and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

OBJECT OF THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

The section of Count 1 of this Third Superseding Indictment entitled “Object of the Conspiracy and the Scheme and Artifice” is realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein to describe the object of the scheme and artifice.

THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

The section of Count 1 of this Third Superseding Indictment entitled “Manner and Means” is realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein to describe the manner and means of the scheme and artifice.

USE OF WIRES

On or about the dates specified as to each count below, in Palm Beach, St. Lucie, Broward, and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the specified defendants, for the purpose of executing and in furtherance of the aforesaid scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communications in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, as described below:

Count	Date	Defendants	Interstate Wire
4	6/15/2020	ROBENSON LAUVINCE	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #3 on behalf of CLEAR SHOT
5	6/18/2020	REGINE MARIE RENE	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #3 on behalf of UNFOLD

Count	Date	Defendants	Interstate Wire
6	7/8/2020	ROBENSON LAUVINCE	Electronic transmission of loan agreement, note, and related documents to SBA on behalf of CLEAR SHOT
7	7/13/2020	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic submission of EIDL application to SBA on behalf of PERFECT LANDSCAPING
8	7/21/2020	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic submission of EIDL application to SBA on behalf of FERRO'S
9	7/31/2020	MAURICE SHAZIER	Electronic submission of EIDL application to SBA on behalf of JREAM
10	8/2/2020	REGINE MARIE RENE	Electronic submission of EIDL application to SBA on behalf of UNFOLD
11	11/18/2020	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic submission of EIDL application to SBA on behalf of CINDA
12	11/25/2020	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic submission of EIDL application to SBA on behalf of TECHNICAL ADVANTAGE
13	11/27/2020	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic submission of purported Form 1120-S to SBA on behalf of TECHNICAL ADVANTAGE
14	2/12/2021	MAURICE SHAZIER	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #1 on behalf of SUNRISE CITY
15	2/12/2021	MAURICE SHAZIER	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #1 on behalf of JREAM
16	2/14/2021	ROBENSON LAUVINCE	Electronic transmission of loan agreement, note, and related documents to Lender #2 on behalf of CLEAR SHOT
17	2/15/2021	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #2 on behalf of CINDA
18	2/18/2021	REGINE MARIE RENE	Electronic submission of purported Forms 941 to Lender #3 on behalf of UNFOLD
19	2/22/2021	REGINE MARIE RENE	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #3 on behalf of UNFOLD
20	4/19/2021	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic transmission of documents to a payroll processing service to open a payroll account on behalf of CINDA
21	5/5/2021	MAURICE SHAZIER	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #1 on behalf of SUNRISE CITY

Count	Date	Defendants	Interstate Wire
22	5/5/2021	MAURICE SHAZIER	Electronic submission of PPP loan application to Lender #1 on behalf of JREAM
23	5/6/2021	REGINE MARIE RENE	Electronic transmission of documents to a payroll processing service to open a payroll account on behalf of UNFOLD.
24	6/11/2021	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic transmission of Form 4506-T (Disaster Request for Transcript of Tax Return) to SBA on behalf of PERFECT LANDSCAPING
25	6/11/2021	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic transmission of Form 4506-T (Disaster Request for Transcript of Tax Return) to SBA on behalf of FERRO'S

COUNT 26**(Aggravated Identity Theft: 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1))**

On or about April 19, 2021, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

MARIEL TOLLINCHI,

during and in relation to felony violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, that is, conspiracy to commit wire fraud, as charged in Count 1 of this Third Superseding Indictment, and of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, that is, wire fraud, as charged in Count 20 of this Third Superseding Indictment, did knowingly transfer, possess, and use, without lawful authority, the means of identification of another person, that is, the name, date of birth, and Social Security number of N.T., in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1028A(a)(1) and 2.

COUNTS 27-32**(Money Laundering: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i))**

The General Allegations Section of this Third Superseding Indictment is re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

On or about the dates set forth in each Count below, in Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendants,

**MARIEL TOLLINCHI,
REGINE MARIE RENE,
MAURICE SHAZIER, and
ROBENSON LAUVINCE**

did knowingly conduct and attempt to conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate and foreign commerce involving the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, knowing that the property involved in the transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that the transaction was designed, in whole and in part, to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds of said specified unlawful activity, as more specifically described below:

Count	Date	Defendant	Financial Transaction
27	6/18/2020	ROBENSON LAUVINCE	Deposit of check number 1097 in the amount of approximately \$18,270, drawn on CLEAR SHOT's account ending in 5325 at Wells Fargo Bank, with a notation of "account setup", into ROYAL ELITE's account ending in 0080 at Bank of America.
28	3/12/21	REGINE MARIE RENE	Deposit of check number 1019 in the amount of approximately \$5,597, drawn on UNFOLD's account ending in 8839 at Wells Fargo Bank, with a notation of "accounting," into EIVC's account ending in 4241 at Synovus Bank.
29	4/15/2021	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Deposit of check number 1062 in the amount of approximately \$7,500, drawn on CINDA's account ending in 6917 at Popular Bank, with a notation of "Legal Services," into TOLLINCHI LAW's account ending in 6299 at Chase Bank.
30	4/28/2021	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Deposit of check number 1067 in the amount of approximately \$3,500, drawn on CINDA's account ending in 6917 at Popular Bank, with a notation of "Legal Services," into TOLLINCHI LAW's account ending in 6299 at Chase Bank.

Count	Date	Defendant	Financial Transaction
31	5/17/2021	MAURICE SHAZIER	Deposit of check number 1017 in the amount of approximately \$10,000, drawn on SUNRISE CITY's account ending in 7117 at Bank of America, with a notation of "advertisement," into EIVC's account ending in 4241 at Synovus Bank.
32	5/18/2021	MAURICE SHAZIER	Electronic wire transfer of approximately \$7,300 from JREAM's account ending in 0316 at Wells Fargo Bank, with a notation of "advertisement commission," into EIVC's account ending in 6361 at Synovus Bank.

It is further alleged that the specified unlawful activity was wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

COUNTS 33–34
(International Money Laundering: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i))

The General Allegations Section of this Third Superseding Indictment is re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

On or about the dates set forth in each Count below, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

MARIEL TOLLINCHI,

did knowingly transmit and transfer and attempt to transmit and transfer monetary instruments and funds from a place inside the United States to and through a place outside the United States, knowing that the monetary instruments and funds involved in such transmission and transfer represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that such transmission and transfer was designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, as more specifically described below:

Count	Date	Description of Financial Transaction
33	1/6/2022	Electronic wire transfer of approximately \$26,371 from TOLLINCHI LAW's account ending in 1969 at Chase Bank to an account in the Dominican Republic.
34	1/25/2022	Electronic wire transfer of approximately \$200,000 from TOLLINCHI LAW's account ending in 1969 at Chase Bank to an account in the Dominican Republic.

It is further alleged that the specified unlawful activity was wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(2)(B)(i) and 2.

COUNTS 35-36

(Engaging in a Transaction in Criminally Derived Property: 18 U.S.C. § 1957)

On or about the dates set forth in each Count below, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendants,

**MARIEL TOLLINCHI and
ROBENSON LAUVINCE,**

did knowingly engage in and attempt to engage in a monetary transaction affecting interstate commerce, by, through, and to a financial institution, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, as more specifically described below, such property having been derived from a specified unlawful activity, and knowing that the property involved in the financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity.

It is further alleged that the specified unlawful activity was wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

Count	Date	Defendant	Financial Transaction
35	6/18/2020	ROBENSON LAUVINCE	Deposit of check number 1097 in the amount of approximately \$18,270, drawn on CLEAR SHOT's account ending in 5325 at Wells Fargo Bank, with a notation of "account setup", into ROYAL ELITE's account ending in 0080 at Bank of America.

36	7/27/2020	MARIEL TOLLINCHI	Electronic wire transfer of approximately \$70,800 from D.F.'s account ending in 3145 at Popular Bank to TOLLINCHI LAW's account ending in 6299 at Chase Bank
----	-----------	---------------------	---

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1957 and 2.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

1. The allegations of this Superseding Indictment are hereby re-alleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America of certain property in which the defendants, **MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE MARIE RENE, MAURICE SHAZIER, and ROBENSON LAUVINCE** have an interest.

2. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349 or 1343, as alleged in this Third Superseding Indictment, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as the result of such offense, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).


3. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), 1956(a)(1)(B)(i), 1956(a)(2)(B)(i), or 1957, as alleged in this Third Superseding Indictment, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or personal, involved in such offense, and any property traceable to such property, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1).

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(1) and 982(a)(2)(A), and the procedures set forth at Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(b)(1).

A TRUE BILL.

FOREPERSON


MARKENZIE LAPOINTE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY


MARC OSBORNE
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**CASE NO.: 22-CR-80144-SMITH/MAYNARD(s)(s)(s)**

v.

MARIEL TOLLINCHI, REGINE M. RENE,
MAURICE SHAZIER, and ROBINSON LAUVINCE,

CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY***Superseding Case Information:**

_____ / Defendants.

Court Division (select one)

- ☐ Miami ☐ Key West ☐ FTP
☐ FTL ☒ WPB

New Defendant(s) (Yes or No) No

Number of New Defendants


Total number of New Counts 4

I do hereby certify that:

1. I have carefully considered the allegations of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of probable witnesses and the legal complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto.
2. I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161.
3. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No
List language and/or dialect:
4. This case will take 15 days for the parties to try.
5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below:

(Check only one)	(Check only one)
I <input type="checkbox"/> 0 to 5 days	<input type="checkbox"/> Petty
II <input type="checkbox"/> 6 to 10 days	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor
III <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11 to 20 days	<input type="checkbox"/> Misdemeanor
IV <input type="checkbox"/> 21 to 60 days	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Felony
V <input type="checkbox"/> 61 days and over	
6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No)
If yes, Judge Smith Case No.
7. Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) Yes
If yes, Magistrate Case No. 22-8336-BER, 22-8337, 22-8357
8. Does this case relate to a previously filed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) No
If yes, Judge Case No.
9. Defendant(s) in federal custody as of
10. Defendant(s) in state custody as of
11. Rule 20 from the District of
12. Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) No
13. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard)? (Yes or No) No
14. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss)? (Yes or No) No

By:



MARC OSBORNE

Assistant United States Attorney

Court ID No. A550796

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: MARIEL TOLLINCHI

Case No: 22-CR-80144-SMITH/MAYNARD(s)(s)(s)

Count #1:

Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349

* **Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years**

* **Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**

* **Max. Fine: \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gross gain**

Count #2:

Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h)

* **Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years**

* **Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**

* **Max. Fine: \$500,000 or twice amount laundered**

Count #3:

Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h)

* **Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years**

* **Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**

* **Max. Fine: \$500,000 or twice amount laundered**

Counts #7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 17, 20, 24, 25:

Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343

* **Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years**

* **Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**

* **Max. Fine: \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gain**

***Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.**

Count #26:

Aggravated identity theft

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A

- * Min. & Max. Term of Imprisonment: 2 years consecutive to any other term of imprisonment imposed on the person under any other provision of law**
 - * Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**
 - * Max. Fine: \$250,000**
-

Counts #29-30:

Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)

- * Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years**
 - * Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**
 - * Max. Fine: \$500,000 or twice amount laundered**
-

Counts #33-34:

International Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(B)(i)

- * Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years**
 - * Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**
 - * Max. Fine: \$500,000 or twice amount laundered**
-

Count #36:

Engaging in a Transaction in Criminally Derived Property

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957

- * Max. Term of Imprisonment: 10 years**
 - * Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**
 - * Max. Fine: \$250,000 or twice value of derived property**
-

***Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: REGINE MARIE RENE

Case No: 22-CR-80144-SMITH/MAYNARD(s)(s)(s)

Count #1:

Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gross gain

Count #2:

Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h)

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$500,000 or twice amount laundered

Counts #5, 10, 18, 19, 23:

Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gain

Count #28:

Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$500,000 or twice amount laundered

*Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: MAURICE SHAZIER

Case No: 22-CR-80144-SMITH/MAYNARD(s)(s)(s)

Count #1:

Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gross gain

Count #2:

Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h)

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$500,000 or twice amount laundered

Counts #9, 14, 15, 21, 22:

Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gross gain

Counts #31-32:

Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$500,000 or twice amount laundered

*Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: ROBENSON LAUVINCE

Case No: 22-CR-80144-SMITH/MAYNARD(s)(s)(s)

Count #1:

Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gross gain

Count #2:

Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h)

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$500,000 or twice amount laundered

Counts #4, 6, 16:

Wire Fraud

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$250,000 or twice gross loss or gain

Count #27:

Money Laundering

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)

- * **Max. Term of Imprisonment:** 20 years
- * **Max. Supervised Release:** 3 years
- * **Max. Fine:** \$500,000 or twice amount laundered

*Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

Count #35:

Engaging in a Transaction in Criminally Derived Property

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957

*** Max. Term of Imprisonment: 10 years**

*** Max. Supervised Release: 3 years**

*** Max. Fine: \$250,000 or twice amount of criminally derived property**

***Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.**